



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,830	01/18/2002	Gen Sasaki	111695	6926
25944	7590 05/08/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		NGUYEN, TRINH T		
	í		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/050,830	SASAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Trinh T Nguyen	3726				
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u> June 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	zxammer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	: : :	440(-) (1) (0)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	·	•				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language present 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1: in lines 6 & 7, the "outer race" appears to lack a proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (as set forth on pages 1-2, lines 1-5 of page 3 of the specification, and Figures 6-12, hereinafter is referred to as AAPA).

AAPA discloses a method of retaining a bearing in a hole formed in a housing comprising: inserting the bearing (1) in the hole; pushing material of the wall of the hole toward the center of the hole using a staking tool (6) with a diameter larger than that of the hole; pressing the material so as to contact with an end face of the outer race (2)

, ipplication, contact tuni

Art Unit: 3726

using the staking tool; and forming a locking section (3c) for retaining the outer race (2) (see especially Figure 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (as set forth on pages 1-2, lines 1-5 of page 3 of the specification, and Figures 6-12, hereinafter is referred to as AAPA) in view of Potter (US 4,189,821).

AAPA discloses the claimed invention except for the combination of methods steps as claimed in claim 2.

Potter teaches a similar combination of securing method steps for joining a first tubular member 30 to a second tubular member 31 (note that Potter's member 30 is equivalent to Applicant's member 9 and Potter's member 31 is equivalent to Applicant's member 2) together by forming a step 42 for retaining the member 31 within the hole, bringing one end of member 31 to contact the step (see Figure 4), and forming the locking section 45 (see Figure 5) to another end of member 31. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have secured the two tubular members of AAPA together by using the combination of Potter's securing method steps, in a similar manner as taught in Potter, in order to

Art Unit: 3726

provide a more efficient securing technique and thus improve the overall manufacturing procedure.

7. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (as set forth on pages 1-2, lines 1-5 of page 3 of the specification, and Figures 6-12, hereinafter is referred to as AAPA) in view of Ridenour (US 4,442,586).

AAPA discloses the claimed invention except for the combination of method steps as claimed in claims 2, 3 and 5.

Ridenour teaches a similar combination of securing method steps for joining a first tubular member 15 to a second tubular member 16 (note that Ridenour's member 15 is equivalent to Applicant's member 9 and Ridenour's member 16 is equivalent to Applicant's member 2) together by forming a projection 51 & 52 at the other end of member 16, forming a concave section 42 & 43 corresponding to the projection, engaging the projection with the concave section (see Figure 4), and forming the locking section 32 matching the other end of member 16 (see Figure 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have secured the two tubular members of AAPA together by using the combination of Ridenour's securing method steps, in a similar manner as taught in Ridenour, in order to provide a more efficient securing technique and thus improve the overall manufacturing procedure.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (as set forth on pages 1-2, lines 1-5 of page 3 of the

Art Unit: 3726

specification, and Figures 6-12, hereinafter is referred to as AAPA) in view of Reiser et al. (US 4,722,619).

AAPA discloses the claimed invention except for the combination of method steps as claimed in claim 4.

Reiser et al. teach a similar combination of securing method steps for joining a first member 1 to a second member 7 together by forming a projection 51 & 52 at the other end of member 16, forming projections 6, press fitting the second member 7 therebetween, and forming the locking sections (the bended projections 6 in Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have secured the two members of AAPA together by using the combination of Reiser et al.'s securing method steps, in a similar manner as taught in Reiser et al., in order to provide a more efficient securing technique and thus improve the overall manufacturing procedure.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are cited in form PTO-892 encloses herewith.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M to 6:00 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Vidovich can be reached on (703) 308-1513. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 3726

305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

ttn May 1, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700